

BUD CRAMER
5TH DISTRICT, ALABAMA

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC WORKS
AND TRANSPORTATION

COMMITTEE ON SCIENCE,
SPACE, AND TECHNOLOGY

PERMANENT SELECT COMMITTEE
ON INTELLIGENCE

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Congress of the United States

House of Representatives

Washington, DC 20515-0105

August 18, 1994

92-265
1318 LONGWORTH BUILDING
WASHINGTON, DC 20515-0105
(202) 225-4801

403 FRANKLIN STREET
HUNTSVILLE, AL 35801
(205) 551-0190

737 EAST AVALON AVENUE
MUSCLE SHOALS, AL 35661
(205) 381-3450

MORGAN COUNTY COURTHOUSE
Box 688
DECATUR, AL 35602
(205) 355-9400

Mrs. O. Lou Sizemore
Congressional Liaison Specialist
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M St
Washington, D.C. 20554

RECEIVED
OCT 27 1994
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF SECRETARY

Dear Mrs. Sizemore:

Please find the enclosed letter that I received from one of my constituents, Mr. David L. Downer, Office Manager of the Farmers Telephone Cooperative, Inc., located in Rainsville, Alabama and who provides services to many of my constituents.

I am respectfully requesting that you carefully peruse the enclosed information and supply me with written documentation addressing the concerns raised by Mr. Downer in his letter.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

B

Bud Cramer
Member of Congress

~~_____~~
BC:nrb

Enclosure

No. of Copies rec'd 2
List A B C D E



Farmers Telephone Cooperative, Inc.

"Where Traditional Values and Modern Technology Meet."

July 26, 1994

The Honorable Bud Cramer
U.S. House of Representative
Longworth House Office Building
Room 1318
Washington, DC 20515


Dear Congressman Cramer:

Farmers Telephone Cooperative has invested in the rights to provide TV programming to our members in DeKalb and Jackson Counties through our affiliation with the National Rural Telecommunications Cooperative (NRTC). Because of some unfair pricing and programming restriction, we cannot compete on an equal basis with our competitors.

We believe that these discriminatory practices were prohibited by Congress with the passage of the 1992 Cable Act, however violations do exist. I am enclosing a copy of my letter to the honorable Reed Hundt, Chairman of the FCC which explains the problem in detail.

I respectfully request that you support our position at the FCC. Thank you for your continuing support of Rural America.

Sincerely,



David L. Downer,
Office Manager

sd

Enclosure



Farmers Telephone Cooperative, Inc.

"Where Traditional Values and Modern Technology Meet."

July 26, 1994

The Honorable Reed Hundt
Chairman
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, NW, Room 814
Washington, DC 20554

Dear Chairman Hundt:

This letter is in support of the comments of the National Rural Telecommunications Cooperative (NRTC) in the matter of the implementation of Section 19 of the Cable Television Consumer Protection and Competition Act of 1992, Annual assessment of the status of competition in the market for the delivery of video programming, CS Docket No. 94-48.

Farmers Telephone is an NRTC member investor in the direct TV project to provide television programming to mostly rural consumers in DeKalb and Jackson Counties in Alabama. Most of these consumers do not have access to cable TV. Most of these consumers live in sparsely populated areas and are unlikely to ever have access to cable, therefore their only choice to receive television service is by satellite. We need complete access to all programming at fair rates, comparable to those paid by our competition, in order to compete in our local market. We thought that Congress had already solved this problem two years ago with the passage of the 1992 Cable Act. We do not currently have DBS distribution rights for Time Warner and Viacom programming, like HBO, Showtime, Cinemax, the Movie Channel, VH-1, MTV, Nickelodeon, and others, because of the exclusive distribution arrangements they have made with United States Satellite Broadcasting Co., Inc. (USSB).

The lack of access to this programming is detrimental to our business and is hindering our ability to compete in our local area. Farmers Telephone Cooperative is owned by it's 16,000 members and has made a considerable investment in DBS to provide this service to them. It is hard to understand why PrimeStar, Wireless Cable and Cable TV companies should have access to this programming and we do not. The lack of this programming is unfair to our consumers because under the current USSB exclusive distribution arrangements, consumers interested in receiving Time Warner and Viacom programming must subscribe to two separate competing packages.


Honorable Reed Hundt
July 26, 1994
page 2

If these services were provided by both Direct TV and USSB the consumer would be able to choose their service provider, resulting in effective competition, lower prices and improved service.

None of the contracts we have signed with Direct TV are exclusive, therefore USSB could offer the service if they choose to. We agree with NRTC's position that the FCC should act to enforce the wishes of Congress as put forth in the 1992 Cable Act.

We request that you monitor and disallow the type of exclusionary arrangements represented by the USSB/Time Warner/Viacom deal.

Sincerely,



David L. Downer,
Office Manager

sd

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

OCT 24 1994

IN REPLY REFER TO:
CN 9404533

The Honorable Bud Cramer
U.S. House of Representatives
1318 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0105

Dear Representative Cramer:


This is in response to your inquiry on behalf of a constituent, Mr. David L. Downer, the Office Manager of Farmers Telephone Cooperative, Inc., in Rainsville, Alabama. Mr. Downer is concerned that DirecTV, operator of a direct broadcast satellite (DBS) facility, cannot obtain rights to Time Warner and Viacom programming, because such programming is subject to exclusive distribution rights of another DBS distributor, United States Satellite Broadcasting, Inc.

Mr. Downer also expresses his support for the position of the National Rural Telecommunications Cooperative concerning the Federal Communications Commission's interpretation of Section 19 of the Cable Television Consumer Protection and Competition Act of 1992. NRTC has requested that the Commission reexamine the legality of exclusive contracts between vertically integrated cable programmers and DBS providers in areas unserved by cable operators. NRTC has asked that the Commission determine that such contracts are prohibited.

NRTC's petition for reconsideration of the Commission's program access rulemaking proceeding is currently pending. As such, any discussion by Commission personnel concerning this issue outside the context of the rulemaking would be inappropriate. However, you may be assured that the Commission will take into account each of the arguments raised by NRTC and the other parties to the rulemaking concerning this issue to arrive at a reasoned decision on reconsideration.

I trust this information is responsive to your inquiry.

Sincerely,


Meredith J. Jones
Chief, Cable Services Bureau